

Collegiate Council, September 25, 2009

Attending: Charley Rutherford, Jason Kuo, Chip Richardson, Leigh Smiley, Linda Aldoory, Katie King, Caroline Eades, Eva Stehle, Madeleine Zilfi, Theresa Coletti, Alexander Williams, Sam Kerstein

Election of Council Officers

Chair: Linda Aldoory

Vice-Chair: Eva Stehle

Secretary: Karen Bradley

Second Representative to the College APAC: Chip Richardson

Discussion of role of the Collegiate Council in appointing members of Standing Committees: Standing Committees are to be populated with the advice and consent of Collegiate Council. The Executive Committee of the Collegiate Council operates as the agent for the council for the appointment to the APT committee, because membership is decided over the summer.

APT: (two-year appts.) Last year, APT had four new members. This year, new member added was Pierre Verdaguer (SLLC) Colin Phillips (Linguistics) is Chair of APT.

PCC: Members (ex-officio,) Yelena Luckert (Library), Tom Moore (Student Affairs) Maud Casey (Eng) James Fry (Mus) Maxine Grossman (Jewish Studies) Wendy Jacobs (Art), Mel Scullen (SLLC)

Motion: Appointments to be accepted, Passed

Committee on New Technologies has one representative from the Collegiate Council CC. Caroline Eads will continue in this role through the Fall semester only.

Future Meetings: October 30, November 20, and December 11, 1-3

Charley passed around the College's Plan of Organization

On page 5, it states Collegiate Council is to bring matters of interest from departments to the college and carry information to the departments from the Dean.

Linda pointed out this is the fifth year and the Plan should be reviewed this year. Ad Hoc committee?

Discussion about waiting a year due to consolidations and program changes.

Charley pointed out there are already redundancies in the document due to changes. SLLC for example.

There is simply updating that could happen now.

Chip Richardson and Katie King will meet with Charley to update and review the Plan of Organization.

Do we need to appoint staff person, students (ug and grad)? Charley will consult with Dean Harris and the undergrad and graduate councils to ask for representatives from those bodies. Staff person: Rita Phelps from Theatre was suggested.

Is it the Senate which tells us what to do? Is Plan in line with Senate authority? Three years ago we had to add to the Dept. plan of organization. Sam proposes each person look at each department's plan of organization to see if it is in accord with ARHU plan and UMD plan. Charley will contact the Senate to see what needs to happen.

Katie and Chip will look at the College document and will conduct fact finding around the larger issue of unit plans. They will bring what they find to the table to discuss benchmarks. We can take the year to make any changes.

Sam pointed out we cannot just make the changes; any substantive changes would need a referendum.

Theresa said English's plan is woefully out of date, but it is never a priority. Is what we do what we say we do? Should Unit heads be told to review? Yes, under the signatures of Linda and Jim Harris.

Discussion about waiting for budget cuts. Table unit level review til spring?

Discussion of paperless campus requiring paper, underuse of technology, etc.

APT will be somewhat paperless as of this year.

Charley: this body could draft a statement endorsing paperless processes. Encourage others to make similar steps.

Members mentioned IRB, FAR, PCC, and LOA as areas that could go paperless.

Leigh brought up an issue of salary committees for depts. In her department, three untenured people saw senior faculty info.

Chip suggested rotating tenured faculty onto the salary committee.

Madeleine suggested an ELMS site for the Collegiate Council. Charley to look into that.

Motion to adjourn: Eva, Seconded ADJOURNED 2:33 pm

Submitted: Karen Bradley